UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/585,191 | 09/14/2007 | Nina Miikki | 0696-0243PUS1 | 9230 |
| | 7590 06/21/201 ART KOLASCH & BI | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 747 | CH VA 22040 0747 | FORTUNA, JOSE A | | |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 1741 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/21/2011 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/585,191 | MIIKKI ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | /Jose A. Fortuna/ | 1741 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>09 June 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lateral transfer or the mailing date. | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | ED WITHIN TWO | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | cause | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | oddoo | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| 11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: | ered but does NOT place the applic | ation in condition for a | allowance | | | | |
| Applicants argue that the term marked paper does not many Applicants also argue that the secondary references do considered, but they are deemed unconvincing, because referring to the paper marked/burned with a laser, so many specific teachings, i.e., the laminating of marked papers | not teach the claimed invention. The the term used by the primary refer arked paper is clear. Also, the secor | e arguments have be rence makes sense, b ndary references were | en carefully ecause it's used for their | | | | |
| the primary reference shows that the paper can be laming the primary reference shows that the paper can be laming the primary reference shows that the paper can be laming the primary reference shows that the paper can be laming the primary reference shows that the paper can be laming the primary reference shows that the paper can be laming the primary reference shows that the paper can be laming the primary reference shows that the paper can be laming the primary reference shows that the paper can be laming the primary reference shows that the paper can be laming the primary reference shows that the paper can be laming to the paper can be laming | nated and/or coated to protect the m | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | (| | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Jose A. Fortuna/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1741

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20110616